CITY OF CHESTERFIELD ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD AGENDA THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 2007, 6:30 P.M. CITY HALL – 690 CHESTERFIELD PARKWAY WEST

CONFERENCE ROOM 101

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. PROJECT PRESENTATIONS:
 - A. <u>Pfizer PGM Biopharma Building</u>: A Site Development Section Plan, Architectural Elevations, Landscape Plan, Lighting Plan and Architects Statement of Design for a 200.51 acre lot of land located at the Northwest corner of 700 Chesterfield Parkway West.
 - B. <u>Sentrus Office Building (Sentrus Place)</u>: Site Development Section Plan, Landscape Plan, Lighting Plan, Architectural Elevations, and Architect's Statement of Design for an office building and research facility in a "PI" Planned Industrial District located in the northeast corner of the Sentrus Place development, north of Chesterfield Airport Road across from the intersection with Cepi Drive.
- III. APPROVAL OF THE DECEMBER 14, 2006 MEETING SUMMARY
- IV. OLD BUSINESS
- V. NEW BUSINESS
- VI. ADJOURNMENT

Note: The Architectural Review Board will consider and act upon the matters listed above, and such other matters as may be presented at the meeting and determined to be appropriate for discussion at that time. Notice is hereby given that the Architectural Review Board may also hold a closed meeting for the purpose of dealing with matters related to one or more of the following: legal actions, cause of action, litigation or privileged communications between the City's representatives and its attorneys. (RSMo 610.021 (1) 1994).

Flad & Associates

644 Science Drive P.O. Box 44977 Madison, WI 53744-4977

608-238-2661 608-238-6727 FAX

Visit our web site at www.flad.com

City o	f Chesterfield					Februa	ry 2, 20	07			
То			1 12	17		Date					
Depar	tment of Planr	ning	All			05199-	00		70C55	SL1600	
690 C	hesterfield Par	·kway W	S F. WE	1	1	Flad Proje	ct Number		Client Pr	roject Numb	ber
***	erfield, MO 6	·	on RECE S	AND STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P	0	Flad Subn	nittal Numb	er			
	e Campo (636		00 JE	ART		Contractor	Submittal	Number	Specifica	ation Sectio	on
Attention		,	21110	119							
Pfizer	PGM GQTS		119	1		Chester	field, M	O			
Project N	lame					Project Lo	cation				
We Are	Sending	X Herewith	X Next Da	ay Air		Regular M	1ail				
		Hand Deliver						te/Time:			
		Hold for Pick-Up	Received by:				Da	te/Time:			
No. of Copies	Description: Bui	Iding MM Design	Review Comm	ents	Approved	Approved as Noted	NO ACTION		Rejected/ Resubmit	Approved as Noted/ Resubmit	Not Subject to Review
12	11x17 copies	s of drawings used for	or Chesterfield arc	chitectural							
Project	Statistics										
Acreage:	200.51	Gross Floor Area:	46,498 Building	Height:	35'						
		eck (√) all that apply [] C.									
		y and office space									
		Brick and metal panel									
	tion Type: Type II-										
		odified Bituminous Mem	hrane: Flat/Low Slop	e							
		t Yard:2211'	•			222					
Building					yard:	444					
		75' Min. Lot Requ									
Description	on of art or architectu	ırally significant features (if ar	ny):								
Screening	Materials and Desig	n: Metal panel similar to	building exterior at po	enthouse							
Additiona	al Project Information	n, if any:									
Gary I	Klipfel, File					Chris	Kronse	•			
CC						Ву					

PFIZER PGM

MM Building Submittal for the Architectural Review Board

Architect's Statement in Response to the Design Guidelines for the City of Chesterfield



Chapter One / Site Layout

A. Physical Features

- Building located at the top of a hill at the rear of the Pfizer campus with a lower level exposed on the east side and buried in the hill on the west side.
- Low height modular brick terrace walls provide a minimal flat area adjust to building for fire access.
- Service road between Building MM and Tank Storage Building provides utility access and location for storm water retention tank below the driveway.
- Existing trees are maintained where possible by using retaining walls integral to the building to minimize re-grading.

B. Vegetation

- Disturbed areas around the north and east side of the building are replaced with ground cover type vegetation to blend back to the natural landscape.
- New tree plantings are grouped to help transition site back to the existing wooded area.

C. Site Relationships of Design

- The service area of the building is located at a midpoint back from the main driveway on the west side of the building adjacent to the tank storage building to the west.
- The front sidewalk links to the existing sidewalk system and creates a transition zone from the driveway/pedestrian areas to the main entry to the building.

D. Pedestrian and Vehicular Circulation

- The building location connects to an existing drive around the back of Building HH.
- The current sidewalk pathway system will be extended to the front of the building at the main entry.
- Parking will be provided at the main parking lots within the campus layout.

E. Pedestrian Orientation

See Section D.

Chapter Two / Buildings

I. All Structures

A. General Architectural Guidelines

- The building is composed of two elements: A brick front section, which encloses the office area and a metal panel rear section to the north, which encloses the lab areas and mechanical penthouse.
- These materials are compatible with existing brick structures and the new NRB Building currently under construction.

B. Scale

Scale of the building is similar to the adjacent Building HH with one-story exposed at the main entry, and two-stories exposed on the lower east side of the building.

C. Design

- The front of the building, which is the brick portion, carries colors and banding patterns similar to other buildings on the Pfizer campus.
- The rear section of the building, the lab portion, is a metal panel exterior similar to other metal panel enclosures located on campus.
- Roof top exhaust fan units are placed behind a screen wall, which is the same material as
 the metal panel lab section of the structure.
- The main entry is located between a brick section and the stairway tower, which has a metal and glass exterior and is slightly recessed.

D. Relation to Adjacent Development

 The building will carry similar brick colors, patterns, and materials as other Pfizer campus structures.

E. Materials/Colors

- The exterior materials include brick and a insulated metal panel, which are compatible with other building materials onsite and are of a high quality finish.
- The brick portion of the building is offset slightly from the metal portion at major intersections of the materials to give a sense of solidness to the overall structure.
- Introduction of the metal panel of the front stairwell that intersects the brick portion of the building creates continuity with the rear section of the structure, which is metal panel.

II. Residential Architecture

A. General Residential Architecture

Not applicable.

B. Multiple-Family Architecture

Not applicable.

III. Non-Residential Architecture

A. General

- The main elevation of the metal building is offset from the brick portion of the building, relieving the longer elevation.
- The brick section of the building is lower than the metal paneled penthouse to the rear, again relieving the long horizontal elevation.

B. Building Equipment and Service

- The dumpster for the building is located on the west side of the building towards the rear
 of the building in a recessed area.
- Major mechanical equipment is located in a fully enclosed penthouse above the second floor.
- Two exterior fans are enclosed behind screen panels, which match the metals of the lab portion of the building.

Chapter Three / Landscape Design

- Major landscaping components are ground cover that will require no irrigation, which will blend with the existing wooded landscape.
- A small grouping of more formalized plantings is included in the main entry of the building to differentiate that area from the other areas blending into the wooded areas.


Chapter Four / Miscellaneous

A. Signage

The building will have a sign element similar to other buildings on site.

B. Lighting

- Lighting consists of 20 foot high pole lights on the service drive and turn around to the rear of the building, a bollard light at the main entry walkway, and building mounted lights for security around the entire perimeter of the building.
- Light fixtures will be cutoff type distribution for reduction of glare.






PLANTI


PGM Biopharma Building MM

Scale: 1"=40'-0"













SOUTH ELEV





WEST ELEVATION





NORTH ELEVAT





VIEW FROM





VIEW FROM AC





VIEW FROM N



VIEW LOOKING NORTH FROM ACCESS DRIVE




VIEW LOOKING AT SITE FROM SOUTHWEST CORNER - BUILDING HH AT LEFT



VIEWS OF EXI

VIE






SECTION

PGM Biopharma Building







SECTION

PGM Biopharma Building



690 Chesterfield Pkwy W • Chesterfield MO 63017-0760 Phone: 636-537-4000 • Fax 636-537-4798 • www.chesterfield.mo.us

February 8, 2007

Architectural Review Board City of Chesterfield 690 Chesterfield Parkway West Chesterfield, MO 63017

Re: Sentrus Office Building (Sentrus Place): Site Development Section Plan, Landscape Plan, Lighting Plan, Architectural Elevations, and Architect's Statement of Design for an office building and research facility in a "PI" Planned Industrial District located in the northeast corner of the Sentrus Place development, north of Chesterfield Airport Road across from the intersection with Cepi Drive.

Dear Board Members:

Henty & Associates, P.C., has submitted, on behalf of Phase Two Investments, L.L.C. a Site Development Section Plan, Landscape Plan, Lighting Plan, Architectural Elevations, and Architects Statement of Design for the above referenced project. The Department of Planning has reviewed this request and submits the following report.

Submittal Information

The request is for a new 100,000 square foot office building and research facility located in the Sentrus Place development. Exterior materials include granite composite panels and glass. Roof materials will be a single-ply membrane. Please see the attached checklist to review the project's compliance with the City of Chesterfield's Design Guidelines.

Departmental Input

The submittal was reviewed for compliance with the City of Chesterfield's Design Guidelines, the draft governing ordinance currently before the Planning Commission under P.Z. 01-2007, the City of Chesterfield Tree Manual and the City of Chesterfield Lighting ordinance. Landscape is being addressed through site plan review for adherence to City of Chesterfield Tree Manual. Signage is not reviewed during this part of the process and will be reviewed by the Department of Planning.

Actions Requested

The Department of Planning requests action by the Architectural Review Board on the information presented.

Sincerely,

Mara M. Perry, AICP

Senior Planner of Plan Review

Attachments

1. ARB Design Review Checklist

2. Architectural Review Application and Packet Submittal

Design Guidelines: Review Checklist

Sentrus Place

Project Name: Date of Review:

Guideline Description	Addressed as Written	Addressed with Modification (1)	Comments and Reference (2)
Site Layout	/%		
Vegetation			
Site Relationships			The exact location of building and parking setbacks is currently being developed during the rezoning and will
D. Pedestrian & Vehicular Circulation			De auur esseu uur mg mitai site piani review.
Pedestrian Orientation			
		The state of the s	
CHAPTER TWO:			
Duning an ouncures I. All Structures:			
General Architectural Guidelines	×		

	Guideline Description	Addressed as	Addressed with	Comments and Reference (2)
		Written	Modification (1)	
læi	. Scale	×		
U .	. Design	×		
Ċ	. Relation to Adjacent Development	×		
lii	. Material/Colors	×		
pared pared	. Residential Structures:			
Ą.	. General Residential Architecture	N.		
mi]	. Multiple-Family Architecture	Ý.		
***************************************		OPPOSED THE STATE OF THE STATE		
poned poned	III. Non-residential Structures:			
Ą.	. General	X		
m	Building Equipment Service	X		
ن ا	Fast Food Restaurant Guidelines	NA		
<u>i</u>	. Auto Service Station Guidelines	NA		
ш		N.		
Li	Chesterfield Valley Guidelines			

Comments and Reference (2)	Landscape is currently being addressed through site plan review for adherence to the City of Chesterfield Tree Manual.		Signage is not being reviewed at this time.					
Addressed with Modification (1)				n and a same			THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT O	
Addressed as Written				×	×	×		
Guideline Description	CHAPTER THREE: Landscape Design	CHAPTER FOUR: Miscellaneous	A. Signage	B. Lighting	C. Utilities	D. Stormwater Drainage	E. Energy Conservation	F. Screening (Fences & Walls)

Notes:

- (1) A check in this column refers to the item being addressed in the submission, but with potential variation from the guidelines that review by the Board is specifically requested.
- (2) Comments provide additional information regarding the status of specific design guidelines. Reference is to the specific Architectural Review guideline as stated in the booklet entitled Architectural Review Process, Amended May 2001.

Sentrus Office Building

784 Sentrus Place Chesterfield, Missouri

February 2, 2007





ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD Project Statistics Application

Project Title: Sentrus Office Building
Developer: Phase II Investments, LLCArchitect: Henty & Associates, P.C. Engineer: Stock & Associates
Location: 784 Sentrus Place
PROJECT STATISTICS:
Size of site (in acres): 5.33 Total Square Footage: 100,000 Building Height: 50'-0"
Proposed Usage: Office Building
Exterior Building Materials: Granite composite panels, glass
Construction Type: II-B Non-combustible/Unprotected
Roof Material & Design: Single-ply Membrane
Screening Material & Design: Corrugated painted steel panels.
Landscape Guidelines:CommercialInstitutionalXValleyResidential
Building Setbacks: <u>30'</u> Front <u>25'</u> Side <u>25'</u> Rear <u>40'</u> Max Bldg Ht. <u>NA</u> Min. Lot Req.
Description of art or architecturally significant features (if any): Dark red granite panels with dark grey accent
bands. Glass curtain wall at atrium/entry tower.
ADDITIONAL PROJECT INFORMATION:



ARCHITECT'S STATEMENT ON DESIGN GUIDELINE ITEMS

Chapter One / Site Layout

- A. Physical Features: The Sentrus Office Building is to be located on a relatively flat site requiring a minimum of grade changes to accommodate access to the building. Some transitions in grade are planned to soften the height of the building. Minimal retaining walls will be used where necessary and will be of an aesthetically pleasing material, incorporating planting when possible.
- B. Vegetation: The site is currently devoid of any planting. Extensive new landscaping, as shown on the enclosed Landscape Plans, will provide a visual transition from the site to the building and soften the parking.
- C. Site Relationships of Design: The 3-story building office building will be positioned at an angle with relationship to Highway 40/64 providing a more dynamic view from the highway. As a basement is proposed for this building, it is planned that the lowest level of the building will be located 8'-0" below the typical finished grade and a gentle berm will form a transition from the main site grade up to the entry level of the building. The berm, in conjunction with landscaping elements will help to minimize the perceived height of the building and create an aesthetically pleasing grade change to an otherwise flat site. One of the main features of the design is the circular glass tower at the center of the building. From the street level, the tower provides a visual cue leading the pedestrian to the entry of the building and a first glimpse of the 3-story atrium space.
- D. Pedestrian and Vehicular Circulation: Sentrus Place, the main street to the site, will be bordered by sidewalks providing pedestrian access from this site to Chesterfield Airport Road. There are two driveway entrances from the main street providing easy access to the parking area. There will be a drop-off area at the main entrance to the building. Some limited parking will be available in the garage located in the lower level of the building.
- E. Pedestrian Orientation: The orientation of the main entrance of the building will provide a striking view of the building from Sentrus Place. The trash enclosure will be located discretely away from the major entrance and shielded from view by an aesthetically pleasing screen and landscaping elements.

Chapter Two / Buildings

- I. All Structures
- A. General Architectural Guidelines: The office building is designed with simple lines using classic materials. The granite panels will utilize a palette with the field color to be a dark red and a dark grey accent band. The windows will be butt-glazed to create clean band of glass between the granite columns. The balanced proportions of the column and beam elements mixed with the butt-glazed windows create a clean, aesthetically pleasing appearance. The North and East façades have inset sections to provide a strong shadow line and provide additional visual interest for the view from the highway.
- B. Scale: The design elements of this building break the larger mass into human scale elements recognizable as easily to someone near the building as to someone as far away as the highway. The accent panels provide a visual cue to locate the floor lines of the building reinforcing the human scale of the building.
- C. Design: This building design will compliment and contrast the buildings of the area. A number of the buildings in the vicinity are constructed of red brick. This building will have similarities in coloration, but with a contrasting type of material (granite).
- D. Relation to Adjacent Development: This office building will be the first of several buildings developed on this street and will serve as an anchor to the buildings that follow.
- E. Materials/Colors: The warm earth tone colors of the granite and glass will relate well to the existing buildings in the Chesterfield Valley area. There are to be no bright or gaudy accents or ornamental elements.
- II. Residential Architecture
- A. General Residential Architecture: NA
- B. Multiple-family Architecture: NA
- III. Non-Residential Architecture
- A. General: The office building is designed so that there is no "rear" side of the building in the sense that it appears to only be utilitarian in nature. All sides of the building will be treated as featured façades. An entry plaza in front of the building will provide a pleasant area for seating while waiting for pick up or to simply have lunch on a nice day.

Page 2 February 2, 2007

- B. Building Equipment Service: Access for service vehicles and trash collection is provided at a separate drive than the main parking, avoiding any potential inconvenience for building users.
- C. Fast Food Restaurant: NA
- D. Auto Service Station Guidelines: NA
- E. Shopping Center Guidelines: NA
- F. Chesterfield Valley Guidelines: As indicated above, each façade of the building has been given a featured look utilizing architectural features, landscaping and lighting to highlight and accent for a visually aesthetic appearance.

Chapter Three / Landscape Design

The site will be landscaped to enhance the office building while effectively muting the visual impact of surface parking, the trash enclosure and the entrance to the lower level parking. Deciduous, decorative, and evergreen plant materials have been located to provide transitions from the site to the building, and provide accent highlights to the entrance. The islands on the parking area will be landscaped to break up the area into smaller zones.


Chapter Four / Miscellaneous


- A. Signage: A low freestanding monument sign that conforms to the municipality's signage ordinance will be located in the lawn strip behind the sidewalk along Sentrus Place at the southern end of the site. In addition, a lighted building sign will be located at the top of the building on both the North and South faces of the building. The final signage design will be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and approval prior to installation.
- B. Lighting: The parking lot will be illuminated with low standards to minimize their visual impact. Accent lighting on the exterior columns of the building will be used to enhance the visual interest at night.
- C. Utilities: There will be no above ground utilities visible on the site.
- D. Stormwater Drainage: The overall stormwater drainage of the site is integrated into the detention system of Chesterfield Valley as designed by Stock & Associates Consulting Engineers. For the most part, the stormwater drainage of the lawn areas will shed naturally along grades and swales except in a few areas where yard drains are required to handle runoff blocked by the building. Stormwater from the surface parking will be directed to manholes and then conducted to the off-site detention basin.


Page 3 February 2, 2007

- E. Energy Conservation: The building has been designed to meet or exceed the standards set forth in ASHRAE 90.1 1999 to achieve an energy efficient building.
- F. Screening (Fences & Walls): The building is designed such that the mechanical equipment of the roof will be totally screened from view with an architectural metal enclosure that is integrated into the massing composition of the building. The trash enclosure has bee strategically placed in one of the least visible corners of the site and will be screened behind solid gates that have not been designed as yet, but will employ materials that coordinate with the building (and will be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and approval prior to construction)

Page 4 February 2, 2007







A REVISED PER CITY COMMENTS 01/29/07

ST. LOUIS CO. H&T NO. ____ M.S.D. P# ____ BASE MAP # U-17

SITE SECTIONS

PHASE TWO INVESTMENTS, L.L.C. - SENTRUS








257 Chesterfield Business Perkwey St. Louis, MU 63005 PH. (636) 530-9100 FAX (636) 530-9130 e-mail: general@stockassoc.com Web: www.stockassoc.com


PREPARED FOR:
Phase II Investments, LLC
c/o Sentrus, Inc.
Attention: Mr. Richard Weinstein
141 Chesterfield Industrial Blvd
Chesterfield, MO 63005

GEORGE M. STOCK E-25116 R.E.S 01/11/07 G.M.S. 01/11/07 206-4012 2 of 2












SPADE-CUT EDGE DETAIL



SHRUB PLANTING



EVERGREEN TREE PLANTING



PERENNIAL / ANNUAL PLANTING

LANDSCAPE SCHEDULE:

TREES RSM	COMMON/BOTANICAL 'Red Sunset' Maple / Acer rubrum 'Franksred'	<u>SIZE</u> 2.5"Cal	<u>QTY</u> 37	MATURE SIZEDECID./E	VERGREEN DECIDUOUS
CRB	Clump River Birch / Betula nigra	10'-12'	3	30'-45'	DECIDUOUS
AAA	Autumn Applause Ash / Fraxinus americana 'Autumn Applause	eʻ2.5" Cal.	8	45' +	DECIDUOUS
PA	'Patmore' Ash / Fraxinus pennsylvanica 'Patmore'	2.5"Cal	10	45' +	DECIDUOUS
SWO	Swamp White Oak / Quercus bicolor	2.5"Cal	11	45' +	DECIDUOUS
VGZ	Village Green Zelkova / Zelkova serrata 'Village Green'	2.5"Cal	12	45' +	DECIDUOUS
EVERGREEN TREES CBS	<u>COMMON/BOTANICAL</u> Colorado Blue Spruce / Picea pungens	<u>SIZE</u> 6'-7'	<u>QTY</u> 8	MATURE SIZE 30'-40'	EVERGREEN
WP	White Pine / Pinus strobus	6'-7'	26	45' +	EVERGREEN
FLOWERING TREES SMAG	<u>COMMON/BOTANICAL</u> Saucer Magnolia / Magnolia soulangiana	<u>SIZE</u> 2.5" Cal.	QTY 7	MATURE SIZE 20'-30'	DECIDUOUS
CP	Chanticleer Pear / Pyrus calleryana 'Chanticleer'	2.5" Cal.	27	15'-25'	DECIDUOUS
RP	Redspire Pear / Pyrus calleryana 'Redspire'	2.5" Cal.	10	35'-45'	DECIDUOUS
SHRUBS CH	COMMON/BOTANICAL China Boy/Girl Holly / llex meserveae 'China Boy/Girl' TM	<u>SIZE</u> 5 gal	<u>QTY</u> 11		EVERGREEN
SGJ	Sea Green Juniper / Juniperus chinensis 'Sea Green'	5 gal	94		EVERGREEN
DKL	Dwarf Korean Lilac / Syringa meyeri 'Palibin'	5 gal	9		DECIDUOUS
ANNUALS/PERENNIALS VL	<u>COMMON/BOTANICAL</u> Variegated Lily Turf / Liriope muscari 'Variegata'	<u>SIZE</u> 1 gal	<u>QTY</u> 43		DECIDUOUS
<u>GRASSES</u> DFG	COMMON/BOTANICAL Dwarf Fountain Grass / Pennisetum alopecuroides 'Hameln'	<u>SIZE</u> 5 gal	<u>QTY</u> 107		DECIDUOUS

LANDSCAPE GUIDELINE SPECS:

- 1.) All natural vegetation shall be maintained where it does not interfere with construction or the permanent plan of operation. Every effort possible shall be made to protect existing structures or vegetation from damage due to equipment usage. Contractor shall at all times protect all materials and work against nipur to public.
 2.) The landscape contractor shall be responsible for any coordination with other site related work being performed by other contractors. Refer to architectural drawings for further coordination of work to he dane.

- Refer to architectural drawings for further coordination at work to be done.

 3.) Underground facilities, structures and utilities must be considered approximate only. There may be others not presently known or shown. It shall be the landscape contractor's responsibility to determine or verify the existence of and exact location of the above (Call 1–800–BIG–RIE).

 4.) Plant material are to be planted in the same relationship to grade as was grown in nursery conditions. All planting beds shall be cultivated to 6 depth minimium and graded smooth immediately before planting of plants. Plant groundcover to within 12° of trunk of trees or shrubs planted within the area.

- planting or plants. Plant groundcover to within 12 of trunk of trees or shrubs planted within the area.

 5.) It shall be the landscope contractor's responsibility to:
 A.) Verify all existing and proposed features shown on the drawings prior to commencement of work.
 B. Report all discrepancies found with regard to existing conditions or proposed design to the landscape architect immediately for a decision.
 C.) Stake the locations of all proposed plant material and obtain the approval of the owner's representative or landscape architect prior to installation.
 6.) Items shown on this drawing take precedence over the material list. It shall be the landscape contractor's responsibility to verify all quantities and conditions prior to implementation of this plan. No substitutions of types or size of plant materials will be accepted without written approval from the landscape architect.
 7.) Provide single—stem trees unless otherwise noted in plant schedule.
 8.) All plant material shall comply with the recommendations and requirements of ANSI Z60.1 "American Standards for Nursery Stock".
 9.) It shall be the contractor's responsibility to provide for inspection of the plant material by the Landscape Architect prior to acceptance. Plants

- It shall be the contractor's responsibility to provide for inspection of
 the plant material by the Landscape Architect prior to acceptance. Plants
 not conforming exactly to the plant list will not be accepted and shall be
 replaced at the landscape contractor's expense.
 All bids are to have unit prices listed. The Owner has the option to delete
 any portion of the contract prior to signing the contract or beginning
 work. This will be a unit price contract.
 All plant material to be transplanted shall be transplanted according to
 guidelines set by AAN standards. Transplanted material will not be
 guaranteed by the landscape contractor.

INSURANCE:

The landscape contractor shall submit certificates of insurance for workman's compensation and general liability.

MULCH:

- All mulch to be shredded ook bark mulch at 3" depth (ofter compaction) unless otherwise noted. Mulch shall be clean and free of all foreign materials, including weeds, mold, deleterious materials, etc.
 No plastic sheeting or filter fabric shall be placed beneath shredded bark mulch beds. Myrafi fabric shall be used beneath all gravel mulch beds.
 Edge all beds with spade—cut edge unless otherwise noted.

MAINTENANCE:

- 1.) Landscape Contractor shall provide a seperate proposal to maintain all plants, shrubs, groundcover, perennials and annuals for a period of 12 months after acceptance.
 2.) Contractor shall ensure that only competent and trained personnel shall provide such services and that such services be provided in a timely manner.

TOPSOIL:

- 1.) Topsoil mix for all proposed landscape plantings shall be five (5) ports well-drained screened organic topsoil to one (1) part Canadian sphagnum peat mass as per planting details. Roto—till topsoil mix to a depth of 6 "minimum and grade smooth.

 2.) Provide a soil analysis, as requested, made by an independent soil—testing agency outlining the % of organic matter, inorganic matter, deleterious material, pH and mineral content.

 3. As the foreign topsoil used shall be fore of sets, at turner, woods.
- Any foreign topsoil used shall be free of roots, stumps, weeds, brush, stones (larger than 1"), litter or any other extraneous or toxic material.
- Landscape contractor to apply pre—emergent herbicide to all planting beds upon completion of planting operations and before application of shredded bark mulch.

MISC. MATERIAL:

- 1.) Provide stakes and deadmen of sound, new hardwood, free
- of knotholes and defects.

 2.) Tree wrap tape shall be 4" minimum, designed to prevent borer damage and winter freezing. Additionally, only 3—ply tying material shall be used.

TURF:

- All disturbed lawn areas to be seeded with a mixture of Turf—Type fescue (300# per acre) and bluegrass (18# per acre). Lawn areas shall be unconditionally warranted for a period of 90 days from date of final acceptance. Bare areas more than one square foot per any 50 square feet shall be replaced.
 Landscape contractor shall offer an alternate price for sod in lieu of seed. Sod shall be cut at a uniform thickness of 3/4". No broken pieces, irregular pieces or torn pieces will be accepted.
 Any points carrying concentrated water loads and all slopes of 15% or greater shall be sodded.
 All sod shall be placed a maximum of 24 hours after harvesting.
 Recondition existing lawn areas damaged by Contractor's operations including equipment/material storage and movement of vehicles.

WARRANTY:

- All plant material (excluding ground cover, perennials and annuals) are to be warranted for a period of 12 months after installation at 100% of the installed price.
- Any plant material found to be defective shall be removed and replaced within 30 days of notification or in growth season determined
- replaced within 30 days of notification or in growth season determined to be best for that plant.

 3.) Only one replacement per tree or shrub shall be required at the end of the warranty period, unless loss is due to failure to comply with warranty.

 4.) Lawn establishment period will be in effect once the lawn has been mowed three times. Plant establishment period shall commence on the date of acceptance and 100% completion.




MISSOUR ERFIELD,

PROPOSED (A) [2] CO E a Ω

FOR S PLAN **3** 4 S**ntr** PLANTING **Ω** Ξ

CHECKED RWM/GJB SCALE N.A





Apartment community tie wall replacement



Terraced wall planted with Vinca Minor Vines.





Roadway lane expansion project with reinforced soil slope up to 30 feet tall, spray seeded with native material.



Retail strip center, reinforced wall heights up to 32 feet.



High finish urban interchange.



Corporate headquarters, gravity wall up to 18 feet tall.




Office parkway entrance planted with "Sedum".



314-389-9255 phone + 314-389-6416 fix + www.herculesmfg.com Manufactured by or under license from Hercules Mfg. Inc. 3916 Geraldine Ave., St. Louis MO 63115

Covtred by one or more of the following patents: 5,277,012 5,658,098 D360,475 D340,996 D362,077 D347,285 D372,106 Other patents pending.



Hercules™ Modules are the superior soil retention solution...not only do they create walls that are strong and long lasting, each wall stands out as one of a kind. Vegetate these walls and they become the most beautiful and unique in the industry. Their unique patented design constructs walls of unsurpassed strength and longevity that will last for many decades to come!

STABILITY

From simple backyard applications to larger and more complex wall designs, Hercules Modules derive their dependable strength from:

- Substantial module weight when backfilled
- Friction between modules when stacked
- Variable wall inclination (70° 40°)

Modules can be backfilled with either site soil or granular material and have the ability to handle tight concave and convex curves. When stacked, the module design shelters the soil backfill ensuring the survival of a wide range of plant species through harsh seasonal weather. Walls constructed with **Hercules™ Modules** resist the damaging effects of wall settlement, freeze-thaw and hydrostatic pressure.

EASY INSTALLATION

Hercules™ Modules are surprisingly quick and easy to install. Due to their patented design they are forgiving of minor installation errors, rarely require cutting and never require pins. Having no need to figure for corner modules or caps, Hercules™ Modules are truly a simple, one module retaining wall system!

COST COMPETITIVENESS


Hercules[™] Modules are among the most cost effective retaining wall products on the market today. Most importantly, labor costs are kept low due to quick and easy installation. Unlike many other products, Hercules[™] Modules are installed at 70° of inclination or less. This creates much stronger walls that usually do not require costly over-digging and installation of geogrid reinforcement behind the wall face. Taller walls can be built without the extra expense of additional excavation and geogrid, keeping finished costs extremely competitive.

When the application calls for reinforcement, a geogrid reinforced Hercules™ modular wall will require less geogrid expense and over-dig than other competitive modular systems. Any way you build it, a Hercules™ retaining wall saves money!

THE HERCULES™ MODULE FAMILY								
	WEIGHT	FACE COVERAGE	WALL HEIGHTS (W/O REINFORCEMENT)					
Hercules Long Mega™	165 lbs	1.5 sf	up to 18 ft					
Hercules Mega™	I 30 lbs	1.5 sf	up to 12 ft *					
Hercules Standard™	95 lbs	1.5 sf	up to 6 ft *					
Hercules Mite™	39 lbs	.66 sf	up to 5 ft					

* when used as a facing for a geogrid reinforced slope the Hercules Standard™ and Mega™ Modules are capable of much greater wall heights.

AVAILABLE COLORS: Grey, Buff, Blends





314.389.9255 phone > 314.389.4416 fax + www.herculearnig.com Manufactured by or under license from Hercules Mig. Inc. 3916 Geraldine Area, St. Loue MO 63115 Covered by one or mee of the Soloving potents; 5,277,012 5,658,098 0360,475 0340/99 0362.07 0367.285 0372.06 Other potents penalt



View Looking North



View Looking South




View Looking East



View Looking West



South Elevation



West Elevation



North Elevation



East Elevation



Southwest View




Southeast View



Northeast View



Section Facing North (Lower Building Inset)



Section Facing North (Higher Building Inset)



THE CITY OF CHESTERFIELD ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD DECEMBER 14, 2006

PRESENT

ABSENT

Mr. Matt Adams

Mr. Jerry Right

Mr. Rick Clawson

Mr. Bryant Conant

Mr. Bud Gruchalla

Mr. Dave Whitfield

Ms. Lu Perantoni, PC Liaison

Ms. Mara Perry, Senior Planner

Mr. Charlie Campo, Project Planner

Ms. Joyce Collins-Catling, Executive Secretary

I. CALL TO ORDER: Bud Gruchalla, Chair, called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

II. PROJECT PRESENTATIONS:

A. <u>Wings Corporate Estates Lot 4-American Piping Products</u>: A Site Development Section Plan, Architectural Elevations, Landscape Plan, Lighting Plan and Architects Statement of Design for a 1.24 acre lot of land located on the north side of Wings Corporate Dr.

Project Planner Charlie Campo presented the project requesting an office warehouse facility. Building materials will consist of tilt up concrete panel, glass, flat T.P.O. white roof slope to gutter. After review of the project, the Department of Planning found no outstanding issues.

<u>Item(s) Discussed:</u>

- First projects to be reviewed in this new development
- Mechanical equipment will be screened (most likely in the rear)
- > Downspouts (not currently shown on elevations)
- ➤ Matching material type and color
- > Planting on rendering and landscape plan differ
- Canopy

ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD MEETING SUMMARY 12-14-2006 Page 1 of 3

Area(s) of Concern:

- ❖ Planting should be at the base of building as shown on the rendering
- ❖ Two or three trees seem to be omitted; rendering and landscape plans show differences
- ❖ Parking faces the street and should have a hedge/planting screen across the front

Staff's Review:

✓ Ensure that white roof will not present problems with the Airport and/or is within Airport guidelines.

Bryant Conant made a motion to forward the project for approval with the following recommendation:

1.) Add a hedge at front edge of the parking lot Dave Whitfield seconded the motion.

The motion passed by voice vote 5-0

The Board commented that this is a good project for it's location, and the aesthetics of the building are quite good.

B. Wal-Mart Supercenter Expansion (Chesterfield Commons): Amended Site Development Section Plan, Landscape Plan, Lighting Plan, Architectural Elevations, and Architect's Statement of Design Intent for an expansion into a supercenter located in a "C-8" Planned Commercial District within the Chesterfield Commons development, west of Chesterfield Commons Drive on the south side of Chesterfield Airport Road.

Senior Planner Mara Perry presented the project requesting an expansion to an existing building. The area in front of building is being removed and the existing small stores to the west. Expansions in the front will allow for new entries and planters, and in the rear will allow for loading. Building materials will match existing building materials. The Department of Planning is working with petitioner regarding lighting, as well as signage. After review of the project, the Department of Planning found no outstanding issues.

<u>Item(s) Discussed:</u>

- Additional landscape in rear, series of new planters in front
- ➤ Walk/drop-off area concrete material and theme will remain the same (opportunity exists use something other than stripes matching existing)
- ➤ New islands with new landscaping
- > Same ornamental fencing for 20' high rack system (storage area for bagged goods ---- rocks, mulch, etc.)
- ➤ Shade canopy in front for storage area of live goods

ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD MEETING SUMMARY 12-14-2006 Page 2 of 3

Area(s) of Concern:

- * Rack in between fence and parking lot is highly visible; need additional screening (a 5'-6' wall with pilaster on top)
- Substantial material should be proportionally set in between base and pilaster
- Consistent theme along the front

Staff's Review:

✓ Work with PW regarding traffic flow

Rick Clawson made a motion to forward the project for approval with the following recommendation:

1.) Two outdoor storage areas need like building materials with possible pilasters to break it up.

Bryant Conant seconded the motion.

The motion passed by voice vote 5-0

III. APPROVAL OF THE NOVEMBER 16, 2006 MEETING SUMMARY

The meeting summary was approved as amended.

IV. OLD BUSINESS

- Board member deficiencies and terms
 - > Currently being reviewed
- ARB Guidelines
 - > Guidelines are a part of the UDC currently work in progress
 - > Expect to be discussed as a public hearing sometime after the beginning of 2007

V. NEW BUSINESS

- 2007 Meeting Schedule
 - ➤ Dates were accepted; meeting time changed from 7:00 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. in consideration of travel for out of town petitioners. This time could change back to 7:00 p.m. if the earlier time is not practical.

VI. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 7:40 p.m.