# Planning Commission Staff Report 

| Project Type: | Site Development Section Plan |
| :--- | :--- |
| Meeting Date: | November 13, 2013 |
| From: | Purvi Patel <br> Project Planner |
| Location: | Spirit Valley East Dr., south of Olive Street Rd. |
| Applicant: | Stock and Associates Consulting Engineers, Inc., on behalf of Oaktree Products, <br> Inc. |
| Description: | Spirit Valley Business Park, Lot 9A: A Site Development Section Plan, <br> Landscape Plan, Lighting Plan, Architectural Elevations and an Architect's <br> Statement of Design for a 1.48 acre tract of land zoned "PI" Planned Industrial <br> District located on the east side of Spirit Valley East Drive, south of Olive Street <br> Road. |

## PROPOSAL SUMMARY

Stock and Associates Consulting Engineers, Inc., on behalf of Oaktree Products, Inc., has submitted a Site Development Section Plan, Landscape Plan, Lighting Plan, Architectural Elevations and an Architect's Statement of Design for review. The request is for a 17,400 square foot office / warehouse building located on the eastern perimeter of the Spirit Valley Business Park development. The subject site is zoned "PI" Planned Industrial District and is governed under the terms and conditions of City of Chesterfield Ordinance 2745. The exterior building materials will be comprised of tilt-up concrete and glass. The proposal includes a flat roof with parapets.

## HISTORY OF SUBJECT SITE

On June $18^{\text {th }}, 2007$, the City of Chesterfield approved Ordinance 2373, which zoned the subject site from a "NU" Non-Urban District to a "PI" Planned Industrial District. This ordinance has been amended twice (2008 and 2013) to amend the uses permitted within the development and the site is currently governed under the terms and conditions of the City of Chesterfield Ordinance 2745.

A Site Development Concept Plan, approved by the City of Chesterfield on October $2^{\text {nd }}, 2007$, included 16 lots served by a loop road and providing a connection to the west for an eventual second phase of the development. The lot lines between Lots 9 and 10 were modified via a Boundary Adjustment Plat on May $7^{\text {th }} 2012$.

More recently, an Amended Site Development Concept Plan was approved by the Planning Commission on September $30^{\text {th }}, 2013$ to allow for 6 additional lots, bringing the total number of lots to 22 . Additionally, a Lot Split Plat was approved by City Council on November $4^{\text {th }}$ 2013, to subdivide Lot 9 into Lot 9A and Lot 9B.

Land Use and Zoning of Surrounding Properties

| Direction | Land Use | Zoning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North | Office / Warehouse | "PI" Planned Industrial District |
| South | Office / Warehouse | "PI" Planned Industrial District |
| East | Asphalt Plant | "M-3" Planned Industrial District |
| West | Office / Warehouse | "PI" Planned Industrial District |



STAFF ANALYSIS
Zoning
The subject site is currently zoned "PI" Planned Industrial District under the terms and conditions of City of Chesterfield Ordinance Number 2745. The submittal was reviewed against the requirements of Ordinance Number 2745 and all applicable Zoning Ordinance requirements.

## Traffic Access and Circulation

Proposed access to the site is provided by a shared access on the southern property line. Access to the site is off Spirit Valley East Drive which currently has two curb cuts onto Olive Street Road. There is an existing stub street on the southwest side of Spirit Valley Business Park that will eventually connect to Spirit Valley Business Park, Phase II which will include a third curb cut onto Olive Street Road.

Pedestrian circulation within the development consists of a sidewalk along one side of the roadway. The proposed building on Lot 9A will be providing a sidewalk along the western frontage to tie into the existing sidewalk to the north and a future sidewalk to the south.

## Open Space

City of Chesterfield Ordinance Number 2745 requires a minimum of $30 \%$ open space for the development. The site, as proposed, shows $40 \%$ open space. Open space is generally spread around the site and includes an area for the planned storm water master plan drainage improvements (east side of site) as well as areas planned for a rain garden, on the north and east side of building.

## Landscaping

A Landscape Plan has been submitted showing new trees and shrubs to be installed on the site. The plan adheres to the Tree Preservation and Landscape Requirements Ordinance; however, the Architectural Review Board did include recommendations on the proposed landscaping (see Architectural Elevations section below) that have been met.

## Parking

Parking for the site is provided on the western portion of the site, between the building and Spirit Valley East Drive. The number of parking spaces provided meets the requirements of the Off-Street Parking, Stacking, and Loading Regulations section of the City of Chesterfield Zoning Ordinance.

## Lighting

A Lighting Plan has been submitted showing two (2) pole mounted parking lot fixtures in the parking lot, west of the building, and five (5) building mounted fixtures on the west and south elevations. The proposed fixtures adhere to the City of Chesterfield Lighting Ordinance and cut-sheets for the fixtures are included in the Planning Commission Packet.

## Architectural Elevations

The proposed building will be primarily comprised of tilt-up concrete panels. The front of the building is articulated with glass (gray tinted), reveals, and different paint colors (two earth tone colors). The material colors proposed match the earth tone color palette of the surrounding structures. The proposal, also, includes a flat roof with parapets.

The project was reviewed by the Architectural Review Board (ARB) on October 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2013. A motion to forward to the Planning Commission was made by the ARB by a vote of 5-0 with the following three recommendations:

## 1. Ensure the rooftop equipment is completely screened by the parapet and provide staff with sight line studies from all property lines.

The Board noted that the proposed parapet walls were approximately 1.5 feet tall in certain areas of the building and were unsure if the height of the parapet walls were tall enough to ensure the roof-top mechanical units were fully screened, as required by the City of Chesterfield Code.

The applicant provided staff with a sight line study, as recommended by the Architectural Review Board (a copy of this is included with the packet). The sight line study shows the proposed roof-top mechanical units are screened from beyond the property lines of the site and does meet the City of Chesterfield Code requirements.
2. Add a sidewalk from the parking spaces located along the southern internal drive to the main walkway on the west side of the building. Additionally, relocate the proposed landscaping along the parking stalls to the patio area to provide additional landscaped screening for the patio.

There was discussion during the Architectural Review Board meeting regarding a safe travel path for those who utilize the three parking spaces along the proposed shared access drive, south of the building. The Board noted individuals who used these parking spaces would have to walk along the shared access drive or across the grass in order to access the sidewalk along the front of the building.

As recommended by the Board, the applicant relocated the proposed landscaping along the parking stalls to the patio area to provide additional screening and added a sidewalk from the three parking spaces to connect to the main sidewalk in front of the building.
3. Add a reveal or other architectural feature to break up the mass of the building on the west elevation and along part of the southern elevation, closest to the entrance.

The applicant submitted a letter in response to this recommendation by the Architectural Review Board for the review of Planning Commission; a copy this this response letter is included in the Planning Commission packet. In their response letter, the architect for the project has explained their design concept (intent) and reason for not adding additional articulation or reveal on the west elevation of the building and along part of the southern elevation.

A rendering was attached with the response letter to show the proposed location for the sign and to help visualize the applicant's justification for the building design. Please note, staff does not have the sign calculations from the applicant and cannot confirm at this time if the proposed sign would be permitted. A sign permit application will be required and reviewed by staff prior to the installation of the sign to ensure it meets the City of Chesterfield Code.

A copy of the response letter and rendering was forwarded to the Vice Chair of the ARB, who chaired the ARB meeting on October $10^{\text {th }}, 2013$. In his response, he said he read and understood the architect's concept and feels no further action or changes need to be taken with the design.

## DEPARTMENT INPUT

Staff has reviewed the Site Development Section Plan, Landscape Plan, Lighting Plan, Architectural Elevations, and Architect's Statement of Design and has found the proposal to be in compliance with the site specific ordinance and all City Code requirements. Staff recommends approval of the proposed development of Spirit Valley Business Park, Lot 9A.

## MOTION

The following options are provided to the Planning Commission for consideration relative to this application:

1) "I move to approve (or deny) the Site Development Section Plan, Landscape Plan, Lighting Plan, Architectural Elevations and Architect's Statement of Design for Spirit Valley Business Park, Lot 9A.
2) "I move to approve the Site Development Section Plan, Landscape Plan, Lighting Plan, Architectural Elevations and Architect's Statement of Design for Spirit Valley Business Park, Lot 9A, with the following conditions..." (Conditions may be added, eliminated, altered or modified)

CC: Aimee Nassif, Planning and Development Services Director
Attachments: Site Development Section Plan
Landscape Plan
Lighting Plan
Architect's Statement of Design
Architectural Elevations
Architect's Response Letter to ARB recommendation
Sight-line Study

## LOT 9A OF SPIRIT VALLEY BUSINESS PARK - SITE DEVELOPMENT SECTION PLAN

A TRACT OF LAND BEING PART OF LOT 9 OF SPIRTT VALLEY BUSINESS PARK


## ABBREVATONS



REPARED FOR
CoNTRACTOOR: KEYSTONE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY


ARCHITECT: DAL ARCCHITECTS
IAB64 MNOCHESTER ROAD


SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATION
$\frac{\text { LANDOWNER: SPIRTI VALLEY DEVELOPMENT, LLC }}{8235}$

HONE E $314-994-408$
AX: 3 34-994-4088
FAX: $314-994-4088$
DHAYESONADESCO.COM

stock Ano ssoconts consulme ennergs, wa.
Bx. DANEL EHMMNW, MSSOOR LS. No. 2215

LOCATED IN U.S. SURVEYS 133 AND 153, TOWNSHIP 45 NORTH, RANGE 3 EAST OF THE 5TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN CITY OF CHESTERFIELD, ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI


STE INFORMATION
ADDRESS LOT 9A $=\begin{gathered}610 \text { SPRRT VALLEY EAST DRIVE } \\ \text { CHESTERFELD, MO } \\ 63005\end{gathered}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { SITE AREA } & =\text { LOT 9A: } 1.485 \text { ACRES } \\ \text { OWNER } & =\text { SPRITT VALLEY DEVELOPMENT, LLC }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { CITY } & =\text { SPIRTIT VALLEY DEVELOP } \\ \text { CLOOD MAP } & =\text { CITY OF CHESTERFELD }\end{array}$
FLLOOD MAP
SEWER DISTRICT
SEWER DISTRICT
WATERSHED
FRE
FIRE DIITRICT
SCHOOL DISTRIC
SCHOOL DISTRRCT
ELECTRIC SERVICE
ELECCIRC SERVC
GAS SERVCE
PHONE SERYCE
PHONE SERYCE
WATER SERTCE
CABE SEPVCE
$=29189$
$=$ MSD
$=$ MSD
$=$ MISSOURI RIVER
$=$ MROPCH
= MONARCH CHERTETERFIILD
$=$ ROCKWOOD R-6
$=$ ROCKWOOD
$=$ AMEREN
$=$ ALCLLEDE GAS
$=$ AT
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { CABLE SERVICE } & =\text { Mo. AMERICAN WATER CO. } \\ & =\text { CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS }\end{array}$
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September 25, 2013
City of Chesterfield
Department of Planning
690 Chesterfield Parkway West
Chesterfield, Missouri 63017-0760
Members of the Architectural Review Board

## Re: Architectural Statement

Submittal for Approval of New Facility for Oaktree Products, Inc. Spirit Valley Business Park Lot 9A - 610 Spirit Valley East Drive

## Oaktree Products, Inc. Information and Background

Oaktree Products, Inc. is a locally owned and operated company located in Chesterfield Valley. They are proposing to construct and move to a new facility located in Spirit Valley Business Park. Oaktree Products, Inc is a multi-line distributor of hearing healthcare products.

## General Requirements for Site Design

This project consists of a one-story single tenant office/warehouse building. The construction site is located on Spirit Valley Drive East near Olive Street Road across from Rombach's Pumpkin Farm.

As you can see from the photos in this packet, the rectangular site is treeless and generally flat other than the drainage ditch and is otherwise featureless. The building is strategically located on the site to be compatible with the existing drainage system for the development while maintaining compatibility with neighboring developments.

This project has a 5' wide sidewalk parallel to Spirit Valley Drive East to provide pedestrian circulation. The transition from the street to the site effectively utilizes a shared entrance for both automobile and truck traffic to help eliminate multiple curb cuts. The automobile parking is located in the front of the building, with added elements to enhance the overall design of the facility and provide safe passage to the entry plaza away from truck and delivery traffic.

We are not proposing the use of any fencing or retaining walls on the site at this time.
Landscaping has been designed per city ordinance in a similar fashion to the adjacent developments. Please see attached landscape plan.

## General Requirements for Building Design

The owner of this facility, being a long time and current business resident of the City of Chesterfield, wants this building to represent the quality that they have offered in their many years of service and, as such, has placed a high priority on the appearance of his facility.

The front elevation is articulated with glass, reveals, covered recessed entry and paint colors. The north side of the building is designed similar to the front by adding large windows and reveals in rhythmically pleasing geometric patterns with accent colors to add depth to the elevations.

As seen on the attached elevations, the building will utilize two earth tone colors, gray tinted glass and clear anodized aluminum window frames. The colors, glass and metal items are juxtaposed on the façades of the building to create a very nice overall building design. These include a main building color of a light warm taupe and darker accent color of similar nature.

The building is constructed of tilt-up concrete panels, which is the same material as the other buildings in for this business park. A special elastomeric coating designed specifically for concrete will protect the concrete panels.

The glass will be an energy efficient, tinted, glass in clear anodized aluminum frames. As you can see on the attached elevations, we have used the glass as an effective design element in the elevational articulation.

The design is respectful of the surrounding development in general and is harmonious in scale, material, and color. Nearby buildings are also constructed of tilt-up concrete and/or earth tone colors and materials similar to ours. Signage will be applied to the building in a similar fashion as adjacent buildings.

Site lighting is planned to be two light standards in the front of the building along Spirit Valley Drive East with wall-mounted, shoebox type fixtures on the west and south elevations of the building that will not shine off of the property in an unnecessary fashion.

Please see the site development section plan for drainage information.
The roof top units shall be screened by use of the building parapets.

## Specific Requirements for the Chesterfield Valley

As stated above we encompass the building with reveals and colors for continuity while highlighting the visible front with glass. The trash receptacle will be screened from public view with CMU to coordinated with the building.

The electrical service will be provided by a new transformer located along the north side of the proposed new drive. All utilities to this building are underground.

I-64/US-40 is to the north of this property and is not readily visible from the property. Automobile parking is west of the building and the service/loading area is on the south side of the building.

Street lighting is included in this project to match the existing industrial park street lighting.
It remains our intentions to provide a design that will enhance the local environment while blending with the building types already in the Spirit Valley Business Park. The owner is excited about moving to their new facility and remaining a resident of the City of Chesterfield.

Thank you for your assistance.

As required, building materials that will be brought to the ARB meeting will include:

Glass and frame sample, and
Color samples of the concrete coatings.
End of Architects Statement
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## dial architects

14364 Manchester Road
Manchester Missouri 63011
6362300400

October 17, 2013
Purvi Patel
Project Planner
City of Chesterfield
690 Chesterfield Parkway West
Chesterfield, MO 63017
Re: Spirit Valley Business Park, Lot 9A SDSP - ARB Comments - Architectural Feature
Mrs. Patel:

The following statement is from the Architect of Record; David Dial.
Item \#3 of the letter from the City of Chesterfield Dated October 14, 2013 requests that reveals be added to 'break up the mass of the building'. I disagree with the premise of this request. Reveals can be added to tilt-up concrete building as well as brick and other buildings to add relief and shadow lines. Details like this are typically designed into a building elevation to tie architectural elements together or to become architectural elements themselves when more expensive elements cannot be afforded.

While most tilt-up buildings do have reveals, the reveals are not, in and of themselves, a necessary element on a tilt-up building. In other words, they are one of many design tools that an architect has at his disposal to pull off a certain design concept.

The 'mass' that was referred to in item \#3 of the letter can also be a design element in and of itself. The concept that reveals need to be added to break up this mass is in fact dismantling the massing design concept of the original design as presented and as described below.

## Design Concept

The concept of the original design is that of two distinct masses that could have been built separately or even at different times, mush as one would see on any main street in the US. These two masses of different heights and widths and window shapes are brought together by the larger mass overlapping the smaller in both height and depth with the entry occurring where they meet. The entry is where the maximum articulation occurs which creates the sense of entry that so many buildings lack. This articulation consists of the outer most panel of the larger mass, the recessed panel of the smaller mass and then a further recess for the entry glass wall. Both sides of this entry, behind the arched top panel are at different heights further defining the two mass concept of the design.

The two overlapping masses are then tied together by the contrasting color and material of the steel sunscreen acting like a giant staple holding the building together. The total contrast of the 'staple' being the foreign object demands that it not be aligned with any other objects on the building, especially a reveal which would diminish its aesthetic and articulating value by reducing its presence. The 'staple' is further accentuated as a foreign element by wrapping it around the southernmost front panel that extends off of the building by a foot or so.

The west elevation was designed with the owners signage in mind as well, please see attached rendering. Signage was not submitted as part of the ARB package and will be submitted under separate cover by the signage
company. A reveal at this location interferes with the intended signage and again, deters from the intended massing where the signage will be located.

Summary
We respectfully disagree, and do not wish to add the requested reveals. We are open to discussing this if further questions arise.

Please call if you have any questions or require further clarifications on any issues.

Thank you,
David W. Dial Architects, P.C.

Kevin Bizaillion
Cc: Margy Kemp - Oaktree Products, Inc.
Bob Kemp - Oaktree Products, Inc.
Bill Hardie - Keystone Construction
Chris Mueller - Stock \& Associates
Dan Hayes
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