

II. G.

690 Chesterfield Pkwy W • Chesterfield MO 63017-0760 Phone: 636-537-4000 • Fax 636-537-4798 • www.chesterfield.mo.us

July 5, 2007

Architectural Review Board City of Chesterfield 690 Chesterfield Parkway West Chesterfield, MO 63017

Re: <u>Wings Corporate Estates Lot 20 (Natoli Engineering)</u>: A Site Development Section Plan, Architectural Elevations, Landscape Plan and Architects Statement of Design for a 3 acre tract of land zoned "PI" Planned Industrial District, located on the North side of Paul Haglin Dr.

#### Dear Board Members:

Clayton Engineering and MTH Architects Inc., has submitted on behalf of Carmelo J. Natoli, a Site Development Section Plan, Architectural Elevations, Landscape Plan and Architects Statement of Design for the above referenced project. The Department of Planning has reviewed this request and submits the following report.

#### **Submittal Information**

The request is for a 35,000 sq. ft. Office Warehouse Building, located on a parcel zoned "PI" Planned Industrial District under the terms and conditions of City of Chesterfield Ordinance 2237. The exterior building materials will be comprised of tilt up concrete panels, glass and granite. The roof is proposed to be a flat/low slope, single ply membrane. Please see the attached checklist to review the project's compliance with the City of Chesterfield's Design Guidelines.

#### **Departmental Input**

The submittal was reviewed for compliance with the City of Chesterfield's Design Guidelines. In addition, the plan was reviewed for compliance with City of Chesterfield Ordinance 2237, which governs the site. Landscape and lighting are being addressed through site plan review for adherence to City of Chesterfield Tree Manual and lighting ordinance.

#### **Actions Requested**

The Department of Planning requests action by the Architectural Review Board on the information presented.

Respectfully Submitted,

Charlie Campo

Project Planner

Respectfully Submitted,

Mara M. Perr√, AICP

Senior Planner of Plan Review

#### Attachments

- 1. ARB Design Review Checklist
- 2. Architectural Review Packet Submittal

# Design Guidelines: Review Checklist CITY OF CHESTERFIELD

Wings Corporate Estates Lot 20, Natoli Engineering 07-06-07 Project Name: Date of Review:

Guideline Description	Addressed as	Addressed with	Comments and Reference (2)
	Written	Modification (1)	
CHAPTER ONE:			
Site Layout			
A. Physical Features	X		
B. Vegetation	X		
C. Site Relationships	×		
D. Pedestrian & Vehicular Circulation	×		
E. Pedestrian Orientation	X		
CHAPTER TWO: Building all Structures			
I. All Structures:			
A. General Architectural Guidelines	X		

Guideline Description	Addressed as	Addressed with	Comments and Reference (2)
	Written	Modification (1)	
B. Scale	×		
C. Design	×		
D. Relation to Adjacent Development	X		
E. Material/Colors	×		
II. Residential Structures:			
A. General Residential Architecture	NA		
B. Multiple-Family Architecture	NA		
III. Non-residential Structures:			
A. General	X	-	
B. Building Equipment Service	X		
C. Fast Food Restaurant Guidelines	NA		
D. Auto Service Station Guidelines	NA		
E. Shopping Center Guidelines	NA		
F. Chesterfield Valley Guidelines	×		

Guideline Description	Addressed as Written	Addressed with Modification (1)	Comments and Reference (2)
CHAPTER THREE: Landscape Design			Landscaping is being addressed through site plan review
CHAPTED EAID.			
Charlen rook: Miscellaneous			
A. Signage			Not submitted for approval at this time
B. Lighting			Lighting is being addressed through site plan review
C. Utilities	×		
D. Stormwater Drainage			Drainage is being addressed through site plan review
E. Energy Conservation	X		
F. Screening (Fences & Walls)	X		

# Notes:

- (1) A check in this column refers to the item being addressed in the submission, but with potential variation from the guidelines that review by the Board is specifically requested.
- (2) Comments provide additional information regarding the status of specific design guidelines. Reference is to the specific Architectural Review Process, Amended May 2001.

∦ I	Record: CARMELO J. NATOLI
	address: 18841 CLIFFVIEW LANE
	City: CHESTERFIELD State: MD Zip: 63005
	rel.: 636. 926. 8900 Fax: 636. 926. 8910
*	Attach additional sheets as necessary.
r, P	applicant, if other than owner(s):
	Address:
(	City: State: Zip:
7	Yel.:
	egal Interest:
	Provide date of contract and date of expiration of contract)
*	Attach additional sheets as necessary.
-	
	II. PROJECT STATISTICS
]	Existing Overlay Districts: Check ( ) all that apply [ ] C.U.P. [ ] C.S.P. [ ] L.P.A.  Proposed Usage:
1	Additional Project Information, if any:
	III. ZONING
	Current Zoning District:
	Existing Uses(s) on property: NONE  Proposed Use(s) on property: LIGHT (NOUSTRUM
	popular cos(s) our property
	Proposed Development Intensity:  * du = Divalling Unite (Recidential or Hotel/Motel)   sf = square feet (Commercial Industrial)

690 Chesterfield Parkway West, Chesterfield, MO 63017-0760 Ph. (636)537-4746 Fax (636)537-4798 www.chesterfield.mo.us

# CHAPTER ONE/ SITE LAYOUT

# A. PHYSICAL FEATURES

- 1. SITE IS CURRENTLY LEVEL
- 2. SURROUNDING USES ARE THE SAME, NO BUFFERING
- 3. NO NATURAL SITE AMENITIES ARE EXISTING
- 4. VERY LITTLE GRADING IS REQUIRED, SITE IS LEVEL.
- 5. NO RETAINING WALLS REQUIRED.

#### **B. VEGETATION**

- 1. BUILDING IS ORIENTED THE ONLY DIRECTION POSSIBLE.
- 2. BUILDING DOES NOT ADVERSELY AFFECT SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT.
- 3. TRASH ENCLOSURE AND LOADING ZONE LOCATED ON BACK SIDE OF BUILDING.
- 4. FRONT YARD AREA PROVIDED IS GREATLY IN COMPLIANCE WITH CODE
- 5. COVERED ENTRY AREA PROVIDED.
- 6. NO PHASING REQUIRED.

#### D. PEDESTRIAN AND VEHICULAR CIRCULATION

- 1. CIRCULATION PATTEN IS OBVIOUS AND SAFE.
- 2. PARKING IS PLACED IN FRONT OF BLDG AND COMPLIES WITH ORDINANCES.
- 3. CIRCULATION IS LAID OUT TO AVOID CONFLICT.
- 4. NA
- 5. PROVISIONS HAVE BEEN MADE FOR ALL TYPES OF TRANSPORTATION THAT ARE AVAILABLE.

- 6. NA
- 7. DRIVEWAY IS NOT SHARED BECAUSE OF DRAINAGE DITCH REQUIREMENTS
- 8. SEE ITEM #2
- 9. LANDSCAPING SEPARATION IS PROVIDED.

# **E. PEDESTRIAN ORIENTATION**

- 1.N.A..
- 2. NA
- 3. ALL WALLS OR DECORATED WITH COLOR BANDS AND ARTICULATION JOINTS.. THR FRONT HAS RECESSED ENTRIES WITH A PROJECTING ROOF.
- 4. NA.
- 5.NO SIGNS PROPOSED AT THIS TIME.BLDG FACADE IS ACTIVE

6.NA

# **CHAPTER TWO/ BUILDINGS**

#### I. ALL STRUCTURES

#### A. GENERAL ARCHITECTURAL GUIDELINES

1. BUILDING IS WELL ARTICULATED, ADORNED WITH COLOR AND ARTICULATION ELEMENTS FACING THE STREET PROVIDING AN INTERESTING AND UNIQUE FEATURE.

# **B. SCALE**

- 1. TOTAL HEIGHT OF BUILDING IS 24', WHICH WOULD BE COMPATIBLE WITH THE SCALE OF THE SURROUNDING PROJECTS IF THERE WERE ANY.
- 2. DETAILS OF ARTICULATED AND COLORFUL FACADE ALL CONSPIRE TO CREATE A HUMAN SCALE.
- 3. THERE ARE NO SURROUNDING BUILDINGS.

#### C. DESIGN

- 1. THE DESIGN IS DIVERSE IN ITS NATURE WHILE STILL MAINTAING A COMPATIBLE RELATIONS SHIP WITH PROJECTS OF SIMILAR TYPE IN THE AREA.
- 2. BUILDING DESIGN HAS MULTIPLERECESSED ENTRIES IN THE FACADE.
- 3.NA
- 4. SCREENING ELEMENTS NOT PROVIDED
- 5. ALL ELEVATIONS OF THE PROJECT ARE DESIGNED UTILIZING THE SAME ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS.
- 6. SAME MATERIALS ARE USED ON ALL SIDES..
- 7. ROOF TOP EQUIPMENT IS SCREENED BY THE PARAPET OF THE BUILDING, EXCEPT TO ALL PLANES APPROACHING OR DEPARTING THE AIRPORT
- 8. BUILDING ENTRY IS COVERED WITH A LARGE CANOPY AND WELL SHELTERED BUT EASILY IDENTIFIED ARCHITECTURALLY AS THE ENTRY.
- 9. THE BUILDING IS DESIGNED TO EXCEED AL EXISTING ENERGY CODES WITH THEMOPANE WINDOWS, R-15 ROOF INSULATION AND HIGH EFFICIENCY HEATING AND COOLING EQUIP.

10 NA

#### D. RELATIONSHIP TO ADJACENT DEVELOPMENT

- 1. THERE ARE NO RELEVANT ADJOINING STRUCTURES.
- 2. THE SCALE OF THE BUILDING, THE MATERIALS(TILT UP CONCRETE) THE COLORS AND THE TEXTURES ARE COMPATIBLE WITH ANTICIPATED FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS.

3.NA

- 4.THE EARTH TONES INCORPORATED INTO THE DESIGN OF THE PROJECT ARE NEITHER GARISH OR COMPETITIVE AND ARE HARMONIOUS WITH BOTH THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT AND THE ARTIFICIAL ENVIRONMENT IN THE AREA.
- 5. LARGE OUTDOOR GRASS IS PROVIDED FOR THE ENJOYMENT OF THE OCCUPANTS AT THE REAR OF THE PROPERTY.

#### E. MATERIALS/COLORS

- 1. THE MATERIALS INCORPORATED IN THE DESIGN(TILT UP CONCRETE AND GLASS) ARE KNOWN TO BE OF GOOD CHARACTER, DURABLE, AND HIGHLY ABSORBENT OF LIGHT AND A LOW COEFFICIENT OF REFLECTIVITY.
- 2.MATERIAL AND COLOR CHANGES ARE ARTICULATED IN HORIZONTAL BANDS THEREBY AVOIDING A SENSE OF "THINNESS"
- 3.UNIFORMITY OF COLOR, SCALE, MATERIALS, TEXTURES, PROPORTION, REFLECTIVITY AND DESIGN ARE MAINTAINED ON ALL FACADES OF THE PROPOSED EDIFICE.
- 4. NA
- 5. SOFFIT MATERIALS ARE OF ARE OF A EXTERIOR G.B. THAT IS COMPATIBLE IN TEXTURE AND COLOR WITH THE OTHER ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS OF THE BUILDING.

#### II RESIDENTIAL ARCHITECTURE.. NA

#### III. NON RESIDENTIAL ARCHITECTURE

#### A. GENERAL

- 1. UNIFORM DETAILING, COLOR, TEXTURE, MATERIALS, SCALE, PROPORTION, SCREENING, REFLECTIVITY, AND DESIGN ARE MAINTAINED ON ALL FACADES OF THE PROPOSED BUILDING.
- 2...LARGE COVERED ENTRY AND LARGE OUTDOOR REC AREA ARE PROVIDED.
- 3.BUILDINGS CONTINUOUS WALLS INTERRUPTED BY DETAILS APPROPRIATE FOR CLOSE RANGE INSPECTION BY PEDESTRIANS.
- 4. NA

#### C. FAST FOOD RESTAURANT GUIDELINES NA

#### D. AUTO SERVICE STATION GUIDELINES

# E. SHOPPING CENTER GUIDELINES

# CHAPTER FOUR/ MISCELLANEOUS

#### A. SIGNAGE

NONE BEING REQUESTED AT THIS TIME

#### **B. LIGHTING**

- 1, ALL SITE LIGHTING IS DESIGNED TO NOT CAST LIGHT ON ADJOINING PROPERTIES.. THE PARKING LOT FIXTURES ARE OF A TYPE TO THOSE AT NUMEROUS OTHER INDUSTRIAL PROJECTS, SUCH AS HOUSE OF DENMARK AND AMBASSADOR FLOOR
- 2. NA
- 3. LIGHT FIXTURE COLORS ARE BRONZE, AN EARTH TONE, COMPATIBLE WITH THOSE INCORPORATED IN THE DESIGN OF THE PROPOSED BUILDING.
- 4. FIXTURE MOUNTING HT OF 20' IS COMPATIBLE WITH THE SCALE OF THE BUILDING.
- 5. NO BOLLARDS USED AT THIS TIME.
- 6. NA
- 7. NONE USED

#### C. UTILITIES

1. ALL UTILITIES ARE UNDERGROUND.

#### D. STORMWATER DRAINAGE

- 1. OKAY.
- 2. OKAY
- 3. DRAINAGE IS PROPERLY CONVEYED
- 4. DETENTION IS PROVIDED BEHIND AND IN FRONT OF BUILDINGPROPOSED BUILDING

#### E. ENERGY CONSERVATION

1. SEE ITEM I,C,9 FOR ANSWER

# F. SCREENING (FENCES AND WALLS)

- 1. FENCE AROUND PLAY GROUND IS OPEN AND DECORATIVE.
- 2. FENCE IS OF A COLOR( WHITE) AND A MATERIAL(METAL) THAT IS COMPATIBLE WITH THOSE INCORPORATED IN THE DESIGN OF THE BUILDING/.
- 3. OKAY
- 4. NONE USED
- 5, NA
- 6. SEE III-B-1 FOR ANSWER





















