

Jonathan Raiche

From: Scott Johnson <scott63017@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2016 11:17 AM
To: Jonathan Raiche
Subject: Shelbourne Project

Hi Jonathan. We live in the Reserve at 332 Willow Weald Path. Just want you to know we do not oppose the project, and are mostly concerned about the height of the building. We would hope it is held to a two-story limit and ideally set back away from the ridge below the houses in our development. We know the city is very concerned about livability and appearance of our community, and trust you and your colleagues to ensure this development is an enhancement and not a detraction.

Scott and Liz Johnson

Jonathan Raiche

From: Brian Flaspohler <bkflash@charter.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2016 11:55 AM
To: Jonathan Raiche
Subject: Concerning PZ 05-2016 Shelbourne

Mr. Raiche,

I'm a resident in the Chesterfield Reserve subdivision and while I'm not personally opposed to the Shelbourne development, I do have a concern for the future patients in this facility. Several times during the summer, they will be subjected to fireworks from the Chesterfield amphitheater area. If this was a standard assisted living facility, I'd have no issues at all. But because these patients are suffering from dementia, they will likely be negatively affected by the fireworks. I'd suggest that Shelbourne hasn't considered this and that this could be pretty detrimental to the operation of the facility – possibly leading to a future vacancy of the facility.

While this doesn't directly impact the zoning request, I'd suggest that the city of Chesterfield show some compassion for these future residents by rejecting the zoning change request.

Thanks for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Brian Flaspohler
420 Maple Rise Path

Jonathan Raiche

From: Scott Johnson <scott63017@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2016 11:17 AM
To: Jonathan Raiche
Subject: Shelbourne Project

Hi Jonathan. We live in the Reserve at 332 Willow Weald Path. Just want you to know we do not oppose the project, and are mostly concerned about the height of the building. We would hope it is held to a two-story limit and ideally set back away from the ridge below the houses in our development. We know the city is very concerned about livability and appearance of our community, and trust you and your colleagues to ensure this development is an enhancement and not a detraction.

Scott and Liz Johnson

Jonathan Raiche

From: Brian Flaspohler <bkflash@charter.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2016 11:55 AM
To: Jonathan Raiche
Subject: Concerning PZ 05-2016 Shelbourne

Mr. Raiche,

I'm a resident in the Chesterfield Reserve subdivision and while I'm not personally opposed to the Shelbourne development, I do have a concern for the future patients in this facility. Several times during the summer, they will be subjected to fireworks from the Chesterfield amphitheater area. If this was a standard assisted living facility, I'd have no issues at all. But because these patients are suffering from dementia, they will likely be negatively affected by the fireworks. I'd suggest that Shelbourne hasn't considered this and that this could be pretty detrimental to the operation of the facility – possibly leading to a future vacancy of the facility.

While this doesn't directly impact the zoning request, I'd suggest that the city of Chesterfield show some compassion for these future residents by rejecting the zoning change request.

Thanks for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Brian Flaspohler
420 Maple Rise Path